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Introduction

The Toronto Central (TC) LHIN has aligned its vision with the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care and Cancer Care Ontario to prioritize participation in population-based cancer screening programs and to address screening inequities. This request was accepted by the ICES AHRQ review team to help impact policy and programs.

Objectives and Approach

By linking cancer screening data to geographic, provider and immigration databases, underlying contributors and barriers to cancer screening can be better understood and used to target interventions to specific groups and areas of the province. Thus, the purpose of this study was to: 1) determine the rates of cancer screening in the TC LHIN by sub-region and neighbourhood, and 2) determine how these differences vary by immigration status, primary care provider characteristics and neighbourhood income level. Using OHIP billing claims, screening for breast, cervical and colorectal cancer was identified between fiscal years 2013 to 2016.

Results

During the study period, 58.4% of eligible TC LHIN residents received a mammogram, 57.1% received a pap smear and 55.1% received colorectal cancer screening. Screening rates varied by Toronto neighbourhood: 48.4%–72.9%, 38.8%–70.1% and 42.7%–68.7% for mammograms, pap smears and colorectal cancer screening respectively. Residents who recently immigrated to Ontario received less cancer screening than non-immigrants; 51.8% of immigrant women eligible for cervical cancer screening received a pap smear compared to 60.4% of non-immigrant women in the TC LHIN East region. Not having a female physician (54.3% vs 68.6%), lacking comprehensive care (55.6% vs 62.5%), having a foreign trained physician (56.6% vs 64.7%) and living in a lower income neighbourhood (51.6%-62.5%) were other factors associated with lower rates of cancer screening.

Conclusion/Implications

Cancer screening rates vary according to neighbourhood, and certain groups may be vulnerable to inadequate screening. These findings will help to address cancer screening disparities due to structural barriers, and will help in the delivery of culturally appropriate and relevant cancer screening educational packages and outreach programs in Toronto.