

Accuracy of telephone triage for predicting adverse outcomes in suspected COVID-19: An observational cohort study linking NHS 111 telephone triage, primary and secondary healthcare and mortality records.

Carl Marincowitz¹, Tony Stone¹, Peter Bath², Richard Campbell¹, Janette Turner¹, Richard Pilbery³, Benjamin Thomas¹, Laura Sutton¹, Fiona Bell³, Katie Biggs¹, Frank Hopfgartner², Madina Hussein², Suvodeep Mazumdar², Jennifer Petrie¹, and Steve Goodacre²

¹School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield

²Information School, University of Sheffield

³Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust

Objectives

To assess accuracy of telephone triage in identifying need for emergency care amongst those with suspected COVID-19 infection and identify factors which affect triage accuracy.

Approach

An observational cohort study of adults who contacted the NHS 111 telephone triage service provided by Yorkshire Ambulance Service between March and June 2020 with symptoms indicating possible COVID-19 infection. Patient-level data encompassing triage call, primary care, hospital care and death registration records relating to 40,261 adults were linked.

The accuracy of triage outcome (self-care/non-urgent assessment versus ambulance/urgent assessment) was assessed for death or organ support 30 days from first contact. Multi-variable logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with risk of false negative or false positive triage.

Results

Callers had a 3% (1,200/40,261) risk of serious adverse outcomes. Telephone triage recommended self-care or non-urgent assessment for 60% (24,335/40,261), with a 1.3% (310/24,335) risk of adverse outcomes 30 days from first contact. Telephone triage had 74.2% sensitivity (95% CI: 71.6 to 76.6%) and 61.5% specificity (61% to 62%) for the primary outcome. Analysis suggested respiratory comorbidities may be over-appreciated and diabetes under-appreciated as predictors of deterioration. Repeat contact with triage service appears to be an important under-recognised predictor of deterioration.

Conclusions

Patients advised to self-care or receive non-urgent clinical assessment had a small but non-negligible risk of serious clinical deterioration. Repeat contact with telephone services needs recognition as an important predictor of subsequent adverse outcomes.

